

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/687,151	10/12/2000	John J. Sie	85312-621601	8606		
20350 KIL PATRICK	7590 10/21/201 TOWNSEND & STOO	EXAM	EXAMINER			
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			KIZOU, I	KIZOU, HASSAN		
EIGHTH FLO SAN FRANCI	OR SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2472				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docket@kilpatricktownsend.com ipefiling@kilpatricktownsend.com ilhice@kilpatrick.foundationip.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/687,151	SIE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
REUBEN BROWN	2424	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period is the date for purposes of the purpose of the servining the period set for the control of the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was compared to the compared	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further conto</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. \( \times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.

11.  $\square$  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. 🔲 Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Pankaj Kumar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2424 Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants proposed amendments to claim 1, requiring "...receiving one or more second portions of the program in response to... wherein the one or more second portions is received on one or more other channels, each different from the first channel, the one or more second portions extending from an end of the first portion to an end of the program; and storing the one or more second portions of the program, each of the one or more second portions is received as a singlecast media; rises new issues which would require further search and/or consideration. Similarly, applicants proposed amendments to claims 9, 30 & 31, would also require further search and/or consideration. Claims 9, 30 a deditionally proposes to require; "...first segment... extend from a beginning to an intermediate point, second segment(s) extend from the intermediate point to and end..." Claim 31 additionally proposes to require, "... the first portion is received with a first medial type and the one or more second portions are received with a second media type,..."